G R S App F IX 4]

1943

Rml File No S 2-42

Serial Nos 53 55

*Previous References*
Rml S 2-42 2223 ( Rml )

*Later References*
Rml 52-42 8809 to 90

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* · 2

**Part B for** May 43

SUBJECT

Re The Posting of Mr D Nagesha Rao, Assessing Officer

(1726)

Cu tu Sh at del Fl N / Cur t N 52 f 42 Pg 1

From the D C Nagapattinam

No A6 199874 42 dt 4 2-1942

Requests early orders in regard to the posting of Mr Nagesha Rao Assessing Officer for some Municipality

Mr Nagesha Rao is reported to be finishing his assessment work in Mahadeo Town Mlty by the end of June 1943 and to have been proposed for Nagapattinam Municipality but the Nagapattinam Town Mlty want to have the work of the account of the Mlty completed & taken up the revision of the assessment thereafter by a Special Committee

As the Assessing Officer has no work now it is not understood if he may be posted elsewhere

M 19/2/43 Nagapattinam is a Town in which the revision of assessment on lands & buildings was last effected in the years 1936-37

According to the statutory provision, the revision is due to be taken up now in Nagapattinam, which however pleads inability to take up the work on the ground that the annual [illegible] accts (which have to be completed by 31st March) be completed & that a Committee has been appointed to attend to the revision work

In this connection, it may be stated that the question of auditing the accounts by the auditing [illegible] into the personal

revision of assessment & that the work is not one contemplated to be done, under the Statute, by a Committee

6 must see 65 of the T & C Acts 3 the work is to be done by an assessing officer appointed by the Govt It would be better to observe uniformity on this respect by asking the Asst to fall into lines with the Hills in the Handyside Hill, where this work is performed by a Hills officer who are on a precarious tenure but it is very likely that it might improve to some extent, as a result of the revision

The Director thinks it in favour of having this work done thro the Assessing officer, instead of thro a Committee

7) Under the circumstances, in view of the above facts the Dc may be requested to advise the Asst to take up this work thro the Assessing officer He must be requested that three months may not exceed as long as

13/4/43

8) The Asst may then be addressed by the Assessing officer that he may be required to report to

Re posting Mr D Nageshwara Rao — Asstg Officer to Commandant Mdlg -

Continuation Sheet — File No / Current No 52 — of 4729 — Page 19 (5333) (5334)

Consent dated the 2.2.43 received by the Assessing Officer

Mdlg regarding the date of Consecration of the Temple

Mdlg 2.2.43

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 15.2.43
No 6494

13-2-43

10) 22.2.43
23.2.43

13) Draft letter to the D C Mangalore dtd 23/2/43

Draft read by 23/2 GS 23/2

TYPE SECTION
24 FEB 1943
GEN SECTT

Sd/ 23/2/43

53 To the D C Mangalore
No L 8643 of 26.2.43
Directing that the Mdl Council may be advised to get the work done by the Assessing Officer who may be posted for that Mdlg from the D C Mangalore
No AS 1998/41-42 of 1.3.43
Stating that he has issued instructions to the Panchayat Sgpm Town Mdlg to place the subject once again before the Mdl Council to obtain their approval of appointing Assessing Officer
from the D C Mangalore
No A S 1998/41-42 of 3.3.43

GOs A
GS 23/2

States that Mr D Nageshwara Rao has been instructed by air dak at Srinagapatam only immediately & take up assessing work

Sealed for perusal - Apprehension & to be kept

M 12/3 Lawrence 12/3/43

SEAL DEPT W904 13 3 43 DIST CT MISSOULE

Circ'd 13-3-43 43

Dms CW M 13/3(

Sealed

No further reports have been received this will be closed

M 29/3 yes

B 3/5/43

Ml 52-42-53 4729/2

**GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE**

Tele gram / phone

OFFICE OF

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mandya District,

M a n d y a.

No A5. 1998/41-42.
Encls

Date 4th February 1 9 4 3

C. Narayana Swamy Naidu, Esq , B A., B L.,

Secretary to Government, Law Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir

Adverting to G.O.No.L 9073 75/Ml 160-41-2 Dated 27-3-42 appointing Mr. D. Nagesha Rao, as Assessing Officer for revision work in the Mandya Town Municipality, I have the honour to state that the Assessing Officer after he finished his work in the Mandya Town Municipality was directed to take up the revision of assessment in the Malavally Town Municipality and this was approved in G.O NO L 3144 - 6/Ml 52- 42- 23 dated 17-9-1942.

2. The Assessing Officer in his report No.27/42-43 dated 16-12-1942 stated that he would finish his work in Malavally Municipality by end of January 1943, and solicited instructions as to whether he might proceed to Seringapatam for taking up revision work. A reference was made to the President, Seringapatam Town Municipality to furnish the views of the Municipal Council in the matter. In submitting a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council, the President states that the Mohatarfa accounts of the Municipality from 31-32 to 40-41 were recently audited by the Special Audit Staff and the discrepencies reconciled and that the accounts for 41-42 have not yet been audited by the Audit Staff and that until and unless the accounts are reconciled, the revision of assessment cannot be taken up The Municipal Council have also resolved that as the financial position of the Municipality is not satisfactory, the Municipal Council may be permitted to appoint a committee locally from among the Municipal members to take up the revision of assessment.

3. In this connection I wish to state that the last revision of assessment was done in 36-37 and as the then Assessing Officed fixed the assessment without any reference to the previous assessment list, much confusion was caused and the Mohatarfa accounts had to be reconciled by the Special Audit Staff. As stated by the President, the revision of assessment has to be put off till the audit of the accounts of the Municipality*is taken up and completed (* for 1941-42). A reference has also been made to the Assistant Comptroller, Local Audit Circle to take up the audit of the accounts of the Municipality immediately. After the audit is over,the revision work might be taken up.

4 As regards the proposal of the Municipal Council to appoint a committee for taking up revision work, I wish to state that in the interest of the Municipality, it would be desirable to entrust the work to the Assessing Officer rather than a committee of the kind proposed.

5. As the Assessing Officer will have no work in this District till the audit of the Municipal Accounts at Seringapatam for 41-42 is over, I request you kindly to intimate the place to which the Assessing Officer meght be directed to proceed. The favour of early orders,

P T O.

early orders is solicited.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT.

SC.

Extract of the ordinary meeting Pro-ceedings of Srikakulam Town Municipal Council held on 10.1.1943

Members

Present
13

Absent-
8

× × × × × × × × × × × × ×

× × × × × × × × × × × × ×

(53) ఈ మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలు సమావేశంలో ఎజెండాలో ఉన్న కార్యక్రమాన్ని, మీకు ముందే తెలిపి-ఆమోదించుకోవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాను. ఈ మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలు సమావేశం ఎజెండాలో ఉన్న కార్యక్రమాలు ఏమనగా- ఈ సమావేశానికి ముందు జరిగిన కౌన్సిలు సమావేశపు నిర్ణయాలు ధృవీకరించుట. 2-1-1943వ తేదీ నం. 1998/41-42వ ది. కార్యవర్గం వారి ఆమోదం పొందిన ప్రతిపాదన —

ఈ మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలు సమావేశంలో - పింఛన్ల మంజూరు కొరకు క్రొత్తగా వచ్చిన దరఖాస్తులు - రిక్వెస్టులు ఈ సంవత్సరం 40-41వ సాలు క్రొంగొత్త (మోడ్ రిక్వెస్టులు) వచ్చిన దరఖాస్తులు అదనపు అలవెన్సు 41-42వ సాలు తీర్మానం తయారు చేయుట కొరకు

పింఛన్ల మంజూరు ఆర్డర్ పరవలు, పింఛన్ పొందుతున్న వారిలో మరణించిన వారి, వారి వివరం వేయుట మరియు ఈ సమావేశానికి ముందు జరిగిన కౌన్సిలు సమావేశపు నిర్ణయాలు ధృవీకరించుట కొరకు

ఈ మున్సిపల్ కౌన్సిలు సమావేశంలో ఎజెండాలో ఉన్న కార్యక్రమాలు ఏమనగా- ఈ సమావేశానికి ముందు జరిగిన కౌన్సిలు సమావేశపు నిర్ణయాలు ధృవీకరించుట

P T O

S1

[illegible]

x x x x x x x x x x

[illegible]

Sd/- S V Sreenivasan
President

[illegible]
Hon. President
12-1-43

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Posting of Mr D Nageswara Rao, Assessing Officer, from Vijayawada Municipality

Section Rul
File No 52-42
Serial No 5-5

*Diary No of letter replied to*

No L8643

To

The D.C Manuja DT

Sir

With reference to your letter No A S 1998/41-42 dy 4-2-1943 on the above subject, I am directed to inform that the ~~work~~ personal revision of assessment on buildings & Lands in Tenali Municipal Town will have to be done by an Assessing Officer, and not by a committee of the kind proposed by the Municipality. I am accordingly ~~directed~~ to request that the Municipality may be advised to get the work done by the Assessing Officer, who ~~whose work is not subject~~ by virtue of his position is not subject to that of the ~~Municipality~~ Municipality. ~~Decisions of the Assessing Officer~~ Assessments has to be gone through An appeal against such decisions taken in this matter may be preferred

I have &c

Secy to Govt
Law Dept

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* *194*

Date of issue 26/2

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

and that the auditing of municipal accounts does not come in the way of the assessment work. Maybe posted to Rul

sioner

No A5 1998/41-42

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, the 1st March, 1943

SECRETARIAT
5334
L S G BRANCH

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District,
Mandya

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

In continuation of my letter of even Number dated 4-2-43 re - the revision of assessment in the Seringapatam Town Municipality and posting of the Assessing Officer to some other place, I have the honour to state that the Assistant Comptroller who was requested to take up the audit of the accounts of Seringapatam Town Municipality immediately has intimated that if the audit is taken up immediately, it would upset the programme of both Mandya and Hassan Districts, and that the audit does not in ~~kkaxw~~ any way affect or come in the way of the proposed assessing A copy of his letter No 1049 M1 Ac dated 15-2-1943 is enclosed for kind reference

2 The President, Malavally Town Municipality has reported that the Assessing Officer has completed his work At present on account of illness, the Assessing Officer went on casual leave nd has now applied for privilege leave He has to be relieved of his work at Malavally as he has completed his work Orders of Government regarding his posting have not yet been received, I have directed the Assessing Officer to await the orders of Government for his relief

I have issued instructions to the President, Seringapatam Town Municipality to place the subject once again before the Municipal Council and obtain their approval to the appointment of the Assessing Officer

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Mir Afzal Hussain
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

SC

received

No.A5.1998/41-42.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, the 3rd March 1943.

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT
L S G BRANCH

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq., B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, Mandya.

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq , B.A , B L.
Secretary to Govt Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject - Posting of Mr D Nagesha Rao, Assessing Officer, for work in the Seringapatam Town Municipality.

With reference to your letter No L 8643/M1.52-42-55 - dated 26-2-43 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that I have issued instructions to Mr D Nagesha Rao to join duty at Seringapatam immediately and take up the assessing work The President, Seringapatam Town Municipality has also been instructed to provide the Assessing Officer all the necessary facilities to get through the work as quickly as possible

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

MSS.

Letter No 1049/MLAC Dated 15-2-43, From the Assistart Comptroller Local Audit Circle, Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya Dist.

- - -

With reference to your letter No A5.1988/41-42 dated 10-2-43, requesting to take up the audit of the Seringapatam Municipality immediately, I have the honour to state that the change now if complied with would upset the audit programme not only of the Mandya District but of the Hassan District also

Besides, the audit does not in any way affect or come in the way of the proposed assessing in as much as the audit to be conducted pertains to ~~im~~ the year 1941-42 and the revision, if at all it is given effect to immediately, cannot be earlier than 1943-44.

In the circumstances, it is regretted that it is not possible to take up the audit of the Seringapatam Municipality just now

- - - -

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

SC.